		Application No.		Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Sum	mary	10/769,208		SUTTNER ET AL.	
Zxammer miliated merview damm		Examiner		Art Unit	
		Anne R. Kubelik		1638	
All Participants:		Status of Application	n:		
(1) <u>Anne R. Kubelik</u> .		(3)			
(2) <u>Steven Callistein</u> .		(4)			
Date of Interview: 8 September 2005		Time: <u>1:00 pm</u>			
Type of Interview:  Telephonic Video Conference Personal (Copy given to: Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:		nt's representative)			
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed:					
Claims discussed:  Prior art documents discussed:					
Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TH Applicant approved the proposed examiner's amendment			T WAS	DISCUSSED:	
Part III.					
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a s directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a s did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief</li> </ul>	ation. The eparate r	e examiner will provide a ecord of the substance	a writte of the	en summary of the interview, since	he substance
			·		
· / July / July /	-				
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	Applicant	/Applicant's Representa	tive Si	gnature – if appr	opriate)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413B (04-03)